CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PATENTS

NOV 0 4 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Confirmation No:

5891

Applicant:

Crosby, Matt.

Atty. Docket:

11086.000844

Application No.:

09/725,326

Examiner:

Perungavoor, Sath V.

Filed:

November 28, 2000

Art Unit:

2625

Title:

TECHNIQUES FOR GENERATING A DISTRIBUTED LOW-RESOLUTION

DIGITAL IMAGE CAPABLE OF VIEWING IN ANY RESOLUTION

AMENDMENT

10 NOT ENTER 11/8/2005 SR Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 26, 2005, please reconsider the claims in light of the following remarks:

Applicant thanks the Examining Attorney for his especially helpful explanation of the basis for the rejection. It appears to applicant that there are only a few issues in dispute. Applicant thanks the Examining Attorney for the withdrawal of the objection to the drawing and written description. Applicant thanks the Examining Attorney for the approval of the terminal disclaimer and the withdrawal of the double patenting rejections.

Regarding claims 1-4, 11 and 12, applicant believes that there is a fundamental disagreement concerning the reference and more particularly concerning the ImageCropRect function. The Examiner notes, correctly in our